

Neutrino oscillations under gravity: mass independent oscillation

Banibrata Mukhopadhyay*

Astronomy Division, P.O.Box 3000, FIN-90014 University of Oulu, Finland

I discuss the possibility of neutrino oscillation in presence of gravity. In this respect I consider the propagation of neutrinos in the early phase of universe and around black holes. It is seen that whether the rest masses of a neutrino and corresponding anti-neutrino are considered to be same or not due to space-time curvature effect non-zero oscillation probability between the neutrino and anti-neutrino states comes out. Therefore I can conclude that under gravity neutrino oscillation takes place which is independent of their rest mass.

KEY WORDS : neutrino oscillation, space-time curvature, CPT violation

PACS NO. : 04.62.+v, 04.70.-s, 11.30.Er, 11.30.Fs

It is an established fact that the neutrinos have three different kinds of flavor. Also the choice of these three flavors fits snugly into the standard model of particle physics, which tells that all the conventional matter is constructed from quarks and leptons. The neutrino flavors, namely, electron, muon and tau neutrinos belong to the lepton class. However, the discrepancy in between various observed and expected number of neutrino flavors occur. This is because, they transform from one type of flavor to another. Therefore, if a detector is built and set to detect for one type of neutrinos, while that type of neutrinos are coming, some of them are converting to another type which is unsuited to the detector and thus results a shortfall. This anomalies have been found in the cases of solar neutrino, atmospheric neutrino and the neutrino experiments at accelerators or reactors. Actually the neutrino flavor states consist superposition of different mass eigenstates. Thus the flavor states transform from one to another as different mass eigenstates evolve differently. This is called neutrino oscillation. However, if CPT is violated, for a particular flavor the neutrino and anti-neutrino state may transform from one to other as they evolve differently. In that case, oscillation may take place between a neutrino and an anti-neutrino for the same flavor.

Out of several implications, study of neutrino has got great interest as neutrinos are the important ingredient of the energy produced and removed from the centre of Sun. Also in supernova, neutrinos carry off the largest amount energy of exploding star. Moreover, neutrinos are considered as a prime dark matter candidate. Though the mass of the neutrinos is very less, because of their large number, density is very great and would thus exert a very potent gravitational effect helping to determine the rate and pattern of galaxy formation. Therefore they may have significant role in the large scale structure of the universe.

With all the above facts in background, naturally neutrino oscillation phenomena are of great interest for particle physicists, astrophysicists, as well as cosmologist, as the number density of neutrino in universe is directly related to

*bm@cc.oulu.fi

it. Usually it is believed that the mass of a neutrino is the basic factor to attribute the oscillation. More precisely, it is directly related to the rest mass square difference between two neutrino mass eigenstates. However, all these statements are made when the neutrinos are considered according to Galilean relativity. Gasperini [1] first pointed out that due to presence of gravitational field different neutrino flavors could be affected differently which violates equivalence principle and thus neutrino oscillations may take place even if they are massless or of degenerate mass. Subsequently the effect of strong gravitational field on neutrino oscillation was discussed [2]. Later on the neutrino oscillation was studied considering the violation of equivalence principle with LSND data [3] and was shown that the data can be explained by degenerate or massless neutrinos with flavor non-diagonal gravitational coupling. In a same line of thought, Coleman and Glashow [4] showed that in special relativistic regime, when the maximum velocity of different neutrinos may differ each other, oscillation may happen even if they are considered massless. The goal of this present letter is to study of oscillation phenomena when the space-time curvature has an important effect. Thus in this case, oscillation depends on the gravitational coupling strength. In past neutrino oscillations in curved space-time have been studied by various events [5]. However, in this present letter my message is something different. Recently, it has been shown that under gravity which brings the CPT violating interaction, neutrino and anti-neutrino split in their energy level and thus causes their asymmetry in number density [6,7]. Here I will start from that result and apply for neutrino oscillation business. If the neutrinos and corresponding anti-neutrinos split up in energy level, that creates a difference in their evolution phases, which is directly related to the transition over their mass eigenstates.

It was argued earlier [8,9] that in a weak gravitational field the flavor oscillation is possible with the phase strength of oscillation probability is proportional to the gravitomagnetic field. However, the system was chosen non-relativistic and the corresponding Hamiltonian was Schrödinger-like constructed by hand. The possible violation of local Lorentz invariance in Kaon sector of standard model was studied with both CPT conserving and violating cases [10]. It was also pointed out that [11] in case of a CPT non-conserved situation the neutrino and anti-neutrino acquire different mass and thus there is a possibility of oscillation between themselves. In a same line of thought, it was shown [12] that in absence of neutrino decay, in the case of CPT non-conserving situation, a neutrino evolves differently than the anti-neutrino of same flavor and therefore the oscillation takes place between them. In a recent work [13], it has been shown that a linear superposition of two opposite helicity states can be described as the eigenstates of the de Sitter Casimirs, which actually give rise to the majorana states. It has been argued, due to the space-time effects neutrinos and anti-neutrinos acquire different mass terms. In this present letter, all such scenarios have been studied in the more realistic situations when the neutrinos are propagating in curved space-times. I will start with Dirac equation in the generalized curved space-time and all the interaction terms play in our calculation govern inherently. When neutrinos which are class of fermion, propagate in the strong gravitational field, e.g. close to a black hole or in the early universe era when space-time is non-flat, spin of a neutrino couples with the spin-connection of space-time and generates a gravitational interaction even if there is no other interaction present. As much the curvature effect of space-time is less, this gravitational interaction strength reduces too.

Following [6], the Dirac Lagrangian density for a neutrino under the gravity background in locally flat coordinate system can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \det(e)\bar{\psi} [(i\gamma^a\partial_a - m) + \gamma^a\gamma^5 B_a] \psi = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_I, \quad (1)$$

where

$$B^d = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} (\partial_a e_c^\lambda + \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda e_c^\alpha e_a^\mu). \quad (2)$$

Our chosen unit is, $c = \hbar = 1$. Clearly $\mathcal{L}_I$ may be a CPT and Lorentz violating interaction if the background curvature coupling, B_a , is constant in local frame [6]. If $\mathcal{L}_I$ changes sign under CPT transformation, it is violating CPT. More precisely, under CPT transformation, if the curvature coupling, B_a , does not flip sign then $\mathcal{L}_I$ violates CPT (as in any case the associated axial-vector, $\bar{\psi}\gamma^a\gamma^5\psi$, changes sign under CPT). Therefore, it is the nature of background metric which determines whether B_a is odd under CPT or not and finally which dictates the overall nature of interaction.

The dispersion relation for the neutrino and anti-neutrino fields in presence of CPT violating gravitational interaction can be given as [6]

$$\begin{aligned} E_\nu &= \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + 2(B_0 p^0 + B_1 p^1 + B_2 p^2 + B_3 p^3) + B_a B^a - m^2} \\ E_{\bar{\nu}} &= \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 - 2(B_0 p^0 + B_1 p^1 + B_2 p^2 + B_3 p^3) + B_a B^a - m^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

As $E_\nu \neq E_{\bar{\nu}}$ (due to presence of curvature which appears as gravitational four vector B) the neutrino oscillation phase depends on $(E_\nu - E_{\bar{\nu}})$, and a non-zero oscillation probability between the neutrino and anti-neutrino comes out.

Now following [12], let me consider two distinct orthonormal eigenstates, one is $|E_\nu\rangle$ for spin up neutrino type and other is $|E_{\bar{\nu}}\rangle$ for spin down anti-neutrino type for same flavor. Further introduce a set of neutrino mass eigenstates at $t = 0$ as

$$|A\rangle = \cos\theta |E_\nu\rangle + \sin\theta |E_{\bar{\nu}}\rangle, \quad |B\rangle = -\sin\theta |E_\nu\rangle + \cos\theta |E_{\bar{\nu}}\rangle. \quad (4)$$

Then the oscillation probability from a neutrino state $|A\rangle$ at $t = 0$ to an anti-neutrino state $|B\rangle$ for the same flavor at a later time $t = t_1$ can be found as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ab} &= \left| [-\sin\theta \langle E_\nu| + \cos\theta \langle E_{\bar{\nu}}|] [e^{-iE_\nu t_1} \cos\theta |E_\nu\rangle + e^{-iE_{\bar{\nu}} t_1} \sin\theta |E_{\bar{\nu}}\rangle] \right|^2 \\ &= \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \delta \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where,

$$\delta = \frac{(E_\nu - E_{\bar{\nu}})t_1}{2}. \quad (6)$$

Now for simplicity, considering a special case of the space-time such that $B_0 p^0 \gg \vec{B} \cdot \vec{p}$ and as the neutrinos are highly relativistic, $|\vec{p}|^2$ is much larger compared to the other terms in dispersion relation. Therefore, δ reduces as

$$\delta = B_0 t_1. \quad (7)$$

It is very interesting to note that although both the neutrino and anti-neutrino are chosen of same rest mass, due to the presence of gravity a non-zero phase factor arises which finally produces a non-zero oscillation probability in two mass states. Therefore, I can conclude that in gravity background, e.g. in the anisotropic phase of early universe when space-time is non-flat or close to a rotating black hole, oscillations exist between the neutrino and anti-neutrino, whether their rest masses are same or different. Actually, due to the effect of space-time curvature, the *effective mass*

of a neutrino and an anti-neutrino differs (solely due to the gravitational coupling appears as additive terms over m^2 in the dispersion relation) that finally brings a non-zero oscillation probability. Below I calculate the oscillation phase according to the specific metrics.

First I consider the anisotropic phase of axially symmetric early universe. Then following [14], I choose a simplified version of the Bianchi II model given as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + S(t)^2 dx^2 + R(t)^2 [dy^2 + f(y)^2 dz^2] - S(t)^2 h(y) [2dx - h(y) dz] dz \quad (8)$$

where $f(y) = y$ and $h(y) = -y^2/2$. The corresponding orthogonal set of non-vanishing component of tetrads (vierbiens) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} e_t^0 &= 1, \quad e_x^1 = f(y)R(t)S(t)/\sqrt{f(y)^2R(t)^2 + S(t)^2h(y)^2}, \quad e_y^2 = R(t), \\ e_z^3 &= \sqrt{f(y)^2R(t)^2 + S(t)^2h(y)^2}, \quad e_x^3 = -S(t)^2h(y)/\sqrt{f(y)^2R(t)^2 + S(t)^2h(y)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, from (2) I get

$$\begin{aligned} B^0 &= \frac{4R^3S + 3y^2RS^3 - 2yS^4}{8R^4 + 2y^2R^2S^2}, \quad B^1 = 0 \\ B^2 &= \frac{(4yR^2 - 8RS - y^3S^2)(RS' - R'S)}{8R^4 + 2y^2R^2S^2}, \quad B^3 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If the scale factors of space-time are considered to be same in all directions, $S(t) = R(t)$, only B^0 will remain non-zero and is given as

$$B^0 = -B_0 = \frac{3y^2 - 2y + 4}{2y^2 + 8}.$$

Therefore, from (7) neutrino oscillation phase at time t_1 in early universe reduces as

$$\delta_{\text{eu}} = -\frac{3y^2 - 2y + 4}{2y^2 + 8}t_1. \quad (11)$$

Here the restriction of the metric is chosen in such a manner that only when B_0 is non-zero, oscillation probability is significant. That is possible only when metric deviates from spherical symmetry. However, it can be easily checked that even in the case of spherically symmetric metric, say for Robertson-Walker universe, non-zero probability of neutrino oscillation can be achieved which may depend on B_1, B_2, B_3 . Certainly, B_1, B_2, B_3 have to have a significant value to visualize the non-zero oscillation phase.

Next example can be given for a black hole space-time when the curvature effect is significant. It can be noted that in other context the neutrino oscillations around Kerr black holes (in case of active galactic nuclei) were studied [15] earlier. Here I consider the rotating black hole space-time, namely Kerr space-time, given as [16]

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ij} dx^i dx^j - \left[\frac{2\alpha}{\rho} s_i v_j + \alpha^2 v_i v_j \right] dx^i dx^j \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\sqrt{2Mr}}{\rho}, \quad \rho^2 = r^2 + \frac{a^2 z^2}{r^2}, \\ s_i &= \left(0, \frac{rx}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}, \frac{ry}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}, \frac{z\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}{r} \right), \\ v_i &= \left(1, \frac{ay}{a^2 + r^2}, \frac{-ax}{a^2 + r^2}, 0 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here a and M are respectively the specific angular momentum and mass of the Kerr black hole and r is positive definite satisfying the following equation,

$$r^4 - r^2 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2) - a^2 z^2 = 0. \quad (14)$$

The corresponding non-vanishing component of tetrads (vierbiens) are [16]

$$\begin{aligned} e_t^0 &= 1, & e_t^1 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_1, & e_t^2 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_2, & e_t^3 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_3, \\ e_x^1 &= 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_1 v_1, & e_x^2 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_2 v_1, & e_x^3 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_3 v_1, \\ e_y^1 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_1 v_2, & e_y^2 &= 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_2 v_2, & e_y^3 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_3 v_2, & e_z^3 &= 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\rho} s_3 v_3. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using (2), (12) and (15), the gravitational scalar potential can be evaluated as

$$B^0 = e_{1\lambda} (\partial_3 e_2^\lambda - \partial_2 e_3^\lambda) + e_{2\lambda} (\partial_1 e_3^\lambda - \partial_3 e_1^\lambda) + e_{3\lambda} (\partial_2 e_1^\lambda - \partial_1 e_2^\lambda). \quad (16)$$

Similarly, one can calculate B^1, B^2, B^3 . As before, if I choose a special case of rotating black hole such that $B_0 p^0 \gg \vec{B} \cdot \vec{p}$, then again the neutrino oscillation phase will depend only on B_0 which can be evaluated from (16) using (12). One also can evaluate the oscillation phase for a non-rotating (Schwarzschild) black hole. In that case B^0 will be zero and thus to get a significant oscillation, above restriction to the space-time ($B_0 p^0 \gg \vec{B} \cdot \vec{p}$) must be removed and the oscillation may depend upon B_1, B_2, B_3 .

From the above discussion, it is clear that neutrino oscillations may occur in curved back-ground. For examples I have chosen a space-time in early universe as well as around a black hole. It is to be noted that this oscillation phase is independent of the rest mass of eigenstates, and it arises solely due to the effect of space-time curvature. The amplitude of oscillation is zero when $\theta = 0, \pi/2$ and maximum for $\theta = \pi/4$. Also from (5) and (7) one can evaluate the oscillation length, L_{osc} , as

$$L_{osc} \sim t_1 = \frac{\pi}{B_0} \quad (17)$$

(keeping in mind our chosen system of unit as $c = 1$ and then $L_{osc} \sim c t_1$), when the neutrino is chosen to be moving in a speed close to that of light. Now if I put the dimensions appropriately, (17) reduces as

$$L_{osc} \sim c t_1 = \frac{\pi \hbar}{B_0}. \quad (18)$$

If one considers the neutrino–anti-neutrino oscillation in the atmosphere of earth where the curvature effect, $B_0 \sim 10^{-40}$ erg, can be found on a satellite orbiting earth with velocity, $v \sim 1$ km/sec [17], then the oscillation length, L_{osc} , at that space comes out to be of the order of 10^8 km.

The interesting point can be noted again that the calculated oscillation length is independent of the rest mass of the individual eigenstates. Whether a mass difference exists or not oscillation exists, and in a finite interval a neutrino can retain back to its initial state due to the effect of space-time curvature.

Acknowledgment

I thank D. V. Ahluwalia and Sandhya Choubey for their illuminating discussion regarding various important issues,

bringing my attention to some of current results and careful reading the manuscript which finally have brought the paper in its present form.

- [1] M. Gasperini, Phys. Rev. **D 38**, 2635 (1988); Phys. Rev. **D 39**, 3606 (1989).
- [2] A. Halprin, & C. N. Leung, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1833 (1991).
- [3] R. B. Mann, & U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 865 (1996).
- [4] S. R. Coleman, & S. L. Glashow, Phys. Lett. **B405**, 249 (1999); Phys. Rev. **D59**, 116008 (1999).
- [5] J. Anandan, Nuovo Cimento **A 53**, 221 (1979); J. Pantaleone, A. Halprin, & C. N. Leung, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, R4199 (1993); K. Iida, H. Minakata, & O. Yasuda, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 8**, 1037 (1993); J. G. Pereira, & C. M. Zhang, Gen. Rel. Grav. **32**, 1633 (2000); C. M. Zhang, Nuovo Cimento **B 115**, 437 (2000).
- [6] B. Mukhopadhyay, & P. Singh, “Black holes as a source of neutrino asymmetry in universe” (submitted), gr-qc/0301002; in Proceedings of IXth Particle-String-Cosmology (PASCOS) meeting, January 3-8, 2003, TIFR, Mumbai, India, as a special issue of Pramana – J. Phys. (to appear), gr-qc/0303053.
- [7] P. Singh, & B. Mukhopadhyay, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A18**, 779 (2003).
- [8] D. V. Ahluwalia, Gen. Rel. Grav. **29**, 1491 (1997).
- [9] D. V. Ahluwalia, & C. Burgard, Gen. Rel. Grav. **28**, 1161 (1996).
- [10] T. Hambye, R. B. Mann, & U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. **D 58**, 025003 (1998); Phys. Lett. **B 421**, 105 (1998).
- [11] D. V. Ahluwalia, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 13**, 2249 (1998).
- [12] G. Barenboim, J. F. Beacom, L. Borissov, & B. Kayser, Phys. Lett. **B 537**, 227 (2002).
- [13] D. V. Ahluwalia-Khalilova, & I. Dymnikova, “Spacetime as Origin of Neutrino Oscillations”, hep-ph/0305158.
- [14] A. Banerjee, A. Sanyal, & S. Chakraborty, Ap&SS **166**, 259 (1990).
- [15] D. Píriz, M. Roy, & J. Wudka, Phys. Rev. **D 54**, 1587 (1996).
- [16] C. Doran, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 067503 (2000).
- [17] S. Mohanty, B. Mukhopadhyay, & A. R. Prasanna, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 122001 (2002).